School-based After-school Learning and Support Programmes 2016/17 s.y. School-based Grant - Programme Report

Name of School:	TSUEN WAN PUBLIC HO CHUEN YIU MEMORIAL COLLEGE

Project Coordinator:	Chow Suk Ying	Contact Telephone No.:	24966117
3		1	

A. The number of students (count by heads) benefitted under this programme is 104 (including A. 11 CSSA recipients, B. 93 SFAS full-grant recipients and C. 0 under school's discretionary quota).

B. Information on Activities under the Programme

*Name / Type of activity	par	tual no ticipa eligibl udent	ting e	Average attendance rate	Period/Date activity held	Actual expenses (\$)	Method(s) of evaluation (e.g. test, questionnaire, etc) Name of partner/service provider (if applicable)		Remarks if any (e.g. students' learning and affective outcome)
	A	В	С						
F.1 Bridging Programme	0	9	0	97.7%	22-26 August 2017	\$1620	Questionnaires	Headstart Group	97% students agreed that the programme helped them to adapt to the school environment.
F.1 Cross-border Study Trip	3	25	0	100%	12-13 April 2017	\$29400	Questionnaires	Youth Overseas Exchange Centre	Students completed a Life and Society project after the trip.
Musical Instrument Training and Singing Class	0	7	0	87%	Sept 2016- August 2017	\$9800	Questionnaires	Instructors	85.7% students received positive comments from instructors.
Junior Form English Grammar Enhancement Course (Saturdays)	7	30	0	96.3%	September 2016-May 2017	\$15392	Questionnaires	Synergy Education Provider Co. Ltd.	95.5% students gave positive feedback to the programme.
Cross-border trip (Taiwan)	1	7	0	100%	20-23 December 2016	\$5600	Questionnaires	Arrow Travel Agency Ltd.	The trip has broadened students' horizons.
Leadership training	0	15	0	100%	12 July 2017	\$5332	Questionnaires	Tree Top Cottage Anchor's House Pun Chung Chak	Over 95% students were satisfied with the programme design.
Fruit Days (consumables)		N.A.		N.A.	Nov 2016 – May 2017	\$2695.7	Questionnaires	N.A.	N.A.

Total no. of activities: 14								
@No. of man-times	11	93	0	T. () F.	¢(0920.7	¢(0920.7		
**Total no. of man-times	104			Total Expenses	\$69839.7			

Note:

- * Name/type of activities are categorized as follows: tutorial service, learning skill training, languages training, visits, art /culture activities, sports, self-confidence development, volunteer service, adventure activities, leadership training, and communication skills training courses.
- @ Man-times: refers to the aggregate no. of benefitted students participating in each activity listed above.
- ** Total no. of man-times: the aggregate of man-times (A) + (B) + (C)
- # Eligible students: students in receipt of CSSA (A), SFAS full grant (B) and disadvantaged students identified by the school under the discretionary quota (C).

C. Project Effectiveness

In general, how would you rate the achievements of the activities conducted to the benefitted eligible students?

Di	Improved			No		Not
Please put a "✓" against the most appropriate box.	Significant	Moderate	Slight	Change	Declining	Applicable
Learning Effectiveness	•					
a) Students' motivation for learning	✓					
b) Students' study skills		✓				
c) Students' academic achievement		✓				
d) Students' learning experience outside classroom	✓					
e) Your overall view on students' learning effectiveness	✓					
Personal and Social Development	_			_		
f) Students' self-esteem	✓					
g) Students' self-management skills	✓					
h) Students' social skills	✓					
i) Students' interpersonal skills	✓					
j) Students' cooperativeness with others	✓					
k) Students' attitudes toward schooling	✓					
l) Students' outlook on life		✓				
m) Your overall view on students' personal and social	√					
development						
Community Involvement	T	1		,	1	1
n) Students' participation in extracurricular and voluntary		✓				
activities						
o) Students' sense of belonging		✓				
p) Students' understanding on the community		✓				
q) Your overall view on students' community involvement		✓				

Problems/difficulties encountered when implementing the project (You may tick more than one box) unable to identify the eligible students (i.e., students receiving CSSA, SFAS full grant); difficult to select suitable non-eligible students to fill the discretionary quota; eligible students unwilling to join the programmes; the quality of service provided by partner/service provider not satisfactory; tutors inexperienced and student management skills unsatisfactory; the amount of administrative work leads to apparent increase on teachers' workload; complicated to fulfill the requirements for handling funds disbursed by EDB; the reporting requirements too complicated and time-consuming; Others (Please specify): E. Do you have any feedback from students and their parents? Are they satisfied with the service provided? (optional)

Comments on the project conducted

D.